



International Journal of Nursing and Midwifery

Volume 8 Number 8, September 2016

ISSN 2141-2456



*Academic
Journals*

ABOUT IJNM

The **International Journal of Nursing and Midwifery (IJNM)** is published monthly (one volume per year) by Academic Journals.

International Journal of Nursing and Midwifery (IJNM) is an open access journal that provides rapid publication (monthly) of articles in all areas of the subject such as family practice, women's health care, emergency nursing, psychiatry, geriatrics, pediatrics etc. The Journal welcomes the submission of manuscripts that meet the general criteria of significance and scientific excellence. Papers will be published shortly after acceptance. All articles published in IJNM are peer-reviewed.

Contact Us

Editorial Office: ijnm@academicjournals.org

Help Desk: helpdesk@academicjournals.org

Website: <http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/IJNM>

Submit manuscript online <http://ms.academicjournals.me/>

Editors

Dr. Alleene M. Ferguson Pingnot

*California State University, Stanislaus
One University Circle DBH 260, Turlock, CA 95382
USA*

Dr. Andrew Crowther

*Charles Sturt University
Leeds Parade, Orange, New South Wales 2800,
Australia*

Dr. Jacinta Kelly

*School of Nursing & Midwifery
24 Dolier St, Dublin 2
Ireland*

Dr. Jafar Alasad

*College of Nursing, King Saud bin Abdulaziz
University for Health Sciences
(MC 3105)
King Abdulaziz Medical City - National Guard
Health Affairs
P.O. Box 22490, Riyadh 11426,
Saudi Arabia*

Dr. Fintan Sheerin

*School of Nursing and Midwifery, Trinity College
Dublin,
24 D'Olier Street, Dublin 2.
Ireland*

Prof. Helen McCutcheon

*University of South Australia, School of Nursing &
Midwifery
GPO Box 2471, Adelaide, South Australia, 5001,
Australia*

Dr. Panagiotis Christopoulos MD,MSc,PhD,IFEPAG

*2nd Dept. Ob/Gyn
Medical School, University of Athens
1 Hariton street,
14564, N. Kifissia, Athens,
Greece.*

Dr. Arun Kumar

*Manipal College of Medical Sciences
Department of Biochemistry, Pokhara, Nepal
India*

Dr. Harunor Rashid

*Barts and the London Queen Mary's School of
Medicine and Dentistry, London
The Blizard Building, 4 Newark Street, London E1
2AT,
United Kingdom*

Editorial Board

Dr. Sawsan Majali

*Dar Al Hekma College
P.O.Box 34801, Jeddah 21478
Saudi Arabia*

Dr. Patricia L. Riley

*US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)
1600 Clifton Road, NE
Mail Stop E-41
Corporate Square Bldg 1, Rm 2409
Atlanta, GA
30329-1902
USA*

Dr. Lucille van der Westhuizen

*University of Namibia
P/B 13301 Windhoek,
Namibia*

Dr. Imtiaz Wani

*S.M.H.S Hospital, Srinagar
Amira Kadal, Srinagar
India*

ARTICLE

Low gestational weight gain, desire to be slender and quality of life in pregnant Japanese women	61
Yuriko Kusumi	

Full Length Research Paper

Low gestational weight gain, desire to be slender and quality of life in pregnant Japanese women

Yuriko Kusumi^{1*} and Hitomi Koizumi^{1,2}

¹Department of Nursing, University of Tsukuba Hospital, Ibaraki, Japan.

²Faculty of Medicine, University of Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan.

Received 6 June, 2016; Accepted 29 August, 2016

In Japan, many young women desire to be slim, contributing to an increase in the number of women with low gestational weight gain. This study aimed to clarify the association between low gestational weight gain and low birth weight infant, desire of pregnant women to be slim, self-efficacy, or quality of life (QOL). Subjects were Japanese women in their ≥ 24 th week of pregnancy who visited four primary hospitals in Japan for prenatal checkups. We conducted a self-administered questionnaire survey of these women and later collected information on childbirth from medical records. Analysis of pre-pregnancy weight showed that 43 (16%) of 268 pregnant women were underweight. Gestational weight gain was insufficient in 172 (64%) women based on the IOM recommendation or in 35 (13%) women based on the guideline used in Japan. More than 50% of the women had a desire to be slim, and 16% were actually on a diet during the first trimester. Self-efficacy had no correlation to low gestational weight gain, whereas gestational weight gain of < 10 kg was correlated with low birth weight infant ($p < 0.025$) and poor physical QOL among the women ($p < 0.019$). Research shows that Japanese pregnant women are likely to desire to be too slim, in addition to being a risk factor for infants with low birth weight. Low gestational weight gain is associated with poor physical QOL, but not self-efficacy in pregnant women. It is important for health providers to establish positive prenatal care that does not reinforce weight consciousness among Japanese pregnant women.

Key words: Maternal underweight, gestational weight gain, quality of life, low birth weight infant, desire to be slender.

INTRODUCTION

In Japan, the number of pregnant women who are underweight is on the rise, along with an increasing desire in young women to be thin. In a study conducted by Tsukamoto et al. (2007), approximately 10% of pregnant women had a body mass index (BMI) of < 18.5 .

In addition, the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare

(2013) estimated that 20 to 25% of Japanese women in their 20s are underweight. From the global perspective, such decline in BMI among Japanese young women is a rare event. Maternal underweight is a known risk factor for giving birth to a low birth weight (LBW) infant (Rahman et al., 2015). Japanese groups have also

Corresponding author E-mail: ykusumi213@gmail.com. Tel: +81-29-853-3823.

Author(s) agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

reported similar findings (Takimoto et al., 2006; Tsukamoto et al., 2007).

In Japan, the birth weight of infants began to decrease in the 1980s and the percentage of LBW infants, which was around 5% in the 1980s, increased to 9.6% in 2009 and has remained at similar levels since (Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, 2013). In contrast, no decline in birth weight has been observed in other developed countries, owing to advances in medical technology and a general increase in women's body size. According to recent epidemiological studies, LBW caused by maternal malnutrition is associated with a high risk of developing lifestyle diseases such as diabetes (Gluckman et al., 2007). In addition, Yachi et al. (2013b) showed that low BMIs at between 20 to 29 years of age is a predictor of gestational diabetes, suggesting that health of both infants and mothers is at risk.

In 2006, the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare recommended an optimum gestational weight gain of 9 to 12 kg for women with BMI <18.5 (underweight) and 7 to 12 kg for those with BMI 18.5 to 24.9 (normal weight). However, in 2009, the Institute of Medicine (IOM) of the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, recommended total gestational weight gain to be 12.5 to 18 kg in underweight women and 11.5 to 16 kg in those with normal weight. This shows that the Japanese guidelines are set at low levels, but it is unclear whether the difference between the IOM's and Japanese guidelines was because of ethnic variability in physique.

It is not easy to maintain a balance between sufficient nutritional intake and optimum weight gain. It is also difficult to objectively evaluate health-related behavior of young women because of their diverse pre-pregnancy lifestyles and individual variability in weight consciousness. As a result, standardized prenatal education is offered to pregnant women. However, it may be possible to elucidate the proper direction of care if we can understand health-related behavior among Japanese women and psychosocial issues causing underweight. Therefore, in this study we aimed to reveal the association between low gestational weight gain and LBW infant, desire among pregnant women to be slim, self-efficacy, health-related behavior, and quality of life (QOL).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants and procedure

This study used cross-sectional method. We conducted a self-administered questionnaire survey of pregnant women (first survey) and later collected information about childbirth from their records (second survey). Subjects were 268 Japanese women who were in their ≥ 24 th week of pregnancy and visited one of four primary care hospitals in Japan for health checkups. Pregnant women with multiple fetuses were excluded from the study. In February to March 2013, the purpose and outline of the study were explained to subjects at the outpatient clinic of each hospital, and a questionnaire survey form was handed to those who had consented

to participate in the study. After filling in the form, subjects returned the form by dropping it in a box placed in the outpatient clinic or via mail later. Between March and October 2013, childbirth-related information was collected from their medical record.

Questionnaire forms were returned from 300 consenting subjects. After excluding 32 subjects because of insufficient answers, hospital transfer, or medical complications, 268 (89.3%) subjects were enrolled in the study. In addition, 241 (80.3%) subjects were evaluated in an analysis of pregnant women with BMI < 25, for analysis of association between low gestational weight gain and low birth weight gain, desire to be slender, self-efficacy, and QOL, the reason why to be considered the effect of obesity.

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics (version 22), with statistical significance set at $p < .05$. The chi-square independence test was used for contingency tables, and the Mann-Whitney U test or t-test was used to compare two groups. In addition, a logistic regression analysis was performed to extract risk factors for LBW infant. This study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the University of Tsukuba hospital.

Measures

In the survey, subjects provided information on their age, pregnancy week, occupation, education history, history of childbirth, history of marriage, height, and pre-pregnancy weight. Information on minor pregnancy complications, pregnancy week and weight at childbirth, placenta weight, and blood loss at delivery was collected from medical records. The survey contained four questions about desire to be slim, two of which were yes/no questions asking whether subjects were "wanting to lose weight" or "actually dieting" before becoming pregnant. The other two questions asked whether subjects were "wanting to stay slender during pregnancy" and "worrying about postpartum changes in body shape" using a 5-point scale from 1, "not at all" to 5, "very much so".

In 1997, Bandura A (1997) defined self-efficacy as one's belief in one's own ability to accomplish a task. The General Self-Efficacy Scale was developed based on the self-efficacy theory; this study used the Japanese version of this scale. The scale consists of 16 items, and its reliability and validity have been verified (Sakano et al. 1986). Each item is answered by selecting either "yes (1 point)" or "no (0 point)", and high scores indicate high self-efficacy.

Health-related behavior in subjects was asked using 7 questions on breakfast, nutritional balance, sleep, exercise, weight, drinking, and smoking.

QOL was evaluated using the Health-Related quality of Life scale developed by the World Health Organization (WHOQOL Group, 1994). This scale is a 26-item assessment tool consisting of 4 subscales: Physical health, psychological health, social relationships, and environmental health. The reliability and validity of the Japanese version have been verified (Tazaki et al., 1997). Subjects were asked about their lifestyle in the last two years and answered using a 5-point scale, from 1, "not at all" to 5, "extremely well".

RESULTS

Participant characteristics

Table 1 shows patient characteristics and childbirth-related information. Mean age (SD; standard deviation) was 30.6 (SD 4.8) years, and mean pregnancy week was 33.4 (SD 5.4). With regard to delivery history and marital status, 144 (53.7%) and 124 (46.3%) women were

Table 1. Demographic characteristics.

Parameter	Variable	n	%
Age (years)		mean 30.6 (SD 4.8)	
	<30	107	(39.9)
	≥31- <40	157	(58.6)
	≥41	4	(1.5)
Gestational age (week)		mean 33.4 (SD 5.4)	
	<28	45	(16.8)
	≥28	223	(83.2)
Parity	Primipara	144	(53.7)
	Pluripara	124	(46.3)
Relationship status	With partner	262	(97.8)
	Without partner	6	(2.2)
Occupation	Homemaker	150	(56.0)
	Full-time worker	96	(35.8)
	Part-time worker	22	(8.2)
Educational background	Junior high school	9	(3.4)
	High school	70	(26.1)
	Junior college	91	(34.0)
	University or above	98	(36.6)

Note. *N* = 268. *SD*; standard deviation

primipara and multipara, respectively, and 262 (97.8) and 6 (2.2%) were married or single, respectively. Anemia was observed in 116 (45.3%) women, premature delivery in 3, LBW infant in 14 (5.2%), macrosomia in 1, cesarean delivery in 37 (13.8%), and atonic bleeding in 47 (17.5%). Mean gestational duration was 39.1(SD 1.1) weeks.

Association between low gestational weight gain and low birth weight infant

The mean pre-pregnancy BMI of 268 subjects was 21.0 (SD 3.1) and mean gestational weight gain was 10.3 (SD3.5) kg. Subjects were divided into 3 groups based on pre-pregnancy BMI: a total of 43 (16.0%) women with a BMI of <18.5 were considered underweight, 198 (73.9%) with a BMI of ≥18.5 to <25.0 were normal, and 27 (10.1%) with a BMI of ≥25.0 were overweight. Next, gestational weight gain was categorized as insufficient, adequate, or excessive according to IOM recommendations and Japanese guidelines (Table 2). Because the Japanese guidelines do not specify the optimum level of weight gain for pregnant women whose BMI is ≥25.0, we defined <5 kg as insufficient, ≥5 to <10 kg as adequate, and ≥10 kg as excessive. According to the IOM recommendation, 172 (64.1%) pregnant women had

insufficient weight gain, but according to the Japanese guideline, this was 35 (13.0%). Mean weight gain was 10.1 (SD3.1) kg in a group of underweight women and 10.7 (SD3.3) kg in a group of normal women, with no significant difference between the groups ($p = 0.334$).

After excluding overweight woman (BMI of ≥25), the remaining 241 pregnant women were analyzed using logistic regression, with the dependent variable as LBW and independent variables <10 kg and ≥10 kg, to reveal a correlation between LBW infant and low gestational weight gain. After adjusting for pre-pregnancy weight, age, height, history of childbirth, and gestational week, the data showed that a risk of having a LBW infant was 11.1 times when gestational weight gain was <10 kg ($p = 0.025$; 95% CI [1.3, 92.8]).

Desire to be slender

Among 241 pregnant women, not including those with BMI ≥25, 130 (53.9%) were “wanting to lose weight” around the time they found out about their pregnancy, while 39 (16.2%) were “actually dieting”. In addition, when asked whether they were concerned about postpartum changes in their body shape, 207 (85.9%) pregnant women answered with “somewhat”, “yes”, or

Table 2. Descriptive classification of gestational weight gain.

Prepregnancy BMI	n	%	Gestational weight gain					
			IOM recommendation	n	%	Guideline in Japan ^a	n	%
Underweight (<18.5)	43	(16.0)	Gestational weight gain	mean 10.1 (SD 3.1)				
			Below (<12.5)	35	(81.3)	Below (<9)	9	(20.9)
			Meeting ($\geq 12.5 - <18$)	8	(18.6)	Meeting ($\geq 9 - <12$)	26	(60.5)
			Exceeding (≥ 18)	0	(0)	Exceeding (≥ 12)	8	(18.6)
Normal $\geq 18.5 - <25.0$	198	(73.9)	Gestational weight gain	mean 10.7 (SD 3.3)				
			Below (<11.5)	123	(62.2)	Below (<7)	18	(9.1)
			Meeting ($\geq 11.5 - <16$)	65	(32.8)	Meeting ($\geq 7 - <12$)	118	(59.6)
			Exceeding (≥ 16)	10	(5.0)	Exceeding (≥ 12)	62	(31.3)
Overweight (≥ 25.0)	27	(10.1)	Gestational weight gain	mean 7.4 (SD 4.7)				
			Below (<7)	14	(51.8)	<5	8	(29.6)
			Meeting ($\geq 7 - <11.5$)	7	(25.9)	$\geq 5 - <10$	10	(37.0)
			Exceeding (≥ 11.5)	6	(22.2)	≥ 10	9	(33.3)
Total	mean 21.0 (SD 3.1)		Gestational weight gain	mean 10.3 (SD 3.5)				
		Below	172	(64.1)	Below	35	(13.0)	
		Meeting	80	(29.8)	Meeting	154	(57.4)	
		Exceeding	16	(6.0)	Exceeding	79	(29.5)	

Note. $N = 268$. BMI; body mass index, IOM; Institute of Medicine of the National Academies, SD; standard deviation. ^a Dietary Guidelines for pregnant women of Japan Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare.

“very much so”. When asked whether they wanted to stay slender during pregnancy, 140 (58.1%) pregnant women answered with “somewhat”, “yes”, or “very much so”, indicating that more than half the women who were not overweight were concerned about their weight and wanted to stay slender during pregnancy.

Health behaviors

A total of 195 (72.8%) of the 268 women “had breakfast every morning”, 101 (37.7%) were

eating a balanced diet, and 109 (40.7%) slept more than 7 hours. However, 133 (49.6%) pregnant women did not exercise at all, and 48 (17.9%) did not measure their weight regularly. Drinking and smoking were observed in 6 (0.2%) and 10 (0.7%) women, respectively.

Association between low gestational weight gain and desire to be slender, self-efficacy, and QOL

After excluding women with a BMI of ≥ 25 , we

compared desire to be slender, general self-efficacy scale scores, and health-related quality of life scores among 241 pregnant women based on pre-pregnancy BMI (<18.5 or ≥ 18.5) and gestational weight gain (<10 kg or ≥ 10 kg) (Table 3). Regardless of pre-pregnancy BMI or gestational weight gain, some women were actually dieting during the first trimester, with no significant difference between groups. In addition, no significant correlation was observed between general self-efficacy scale scores and pre-pregnancy BMI or gestational weight gain. Physical QOL was rated low in pregnant women

Table 3 Prepregnancy BMI and gestational weight gain and associations with Desire to be Slender and General Self-efficacy scale scores, Health practice scores, and Health-related quality of life scores.

Variable	Prepregnancy BMI			Gestational weight gain		
	<18.5	≥18.5	P-value	<10	≥10	P-value
	n = 43	n = 198		n = 105	n = 136	
Desire to be slender, n (%)						
I wanted to lose weight	10 (23.2%)	120 (60.6%)	<0.01	55 (52.3%)	75 (55.1%)	0.693
I was on a diet	7 (16.2%)	32 (16.1%)	1.000	14 (13.3%)	25 (18.3%)	0.378
General self-efficacy scale scores, mean (SD)^a	8.4 (3.5)	8.2 (3.6)	0.827	8.2 (3.6)	8.2 (3.6)	0.998
Health practice scores, mean (SD)^b						
Skip breakfast	1.5 (1.0)	1.3 (0.7)	0.532	1.3 (0.6)	1.4 (0.9)	0.752
Balanced nutrition	3.3 (1.4)	3.7 (1.3)	0.507	3.7 (1.3)	3.6 (1.3)	0.226
Sleep more than 7 hours	3.4 (1.5)	3.7 (1.3)	0.647	3.5 (1.4)	3.8 (1.2)	0.173
Exercise	17 (1.0)	2.0 (1.2)	0.295	1.8 (1.1)	2.0 (1.2)	0.083
Measure weight	2.6 (1.5)	3.0 (1.4)	0.358	2.9 (1.5)	2.9 (1.4)	0.937
Health-related quality of life scores, mean (SD)^c						
Total	3.4 (0.4)	3.4 (0.4)	0.906	3.4 (0.4)	3.4 (0.4)	0.487
Physical	3.5 (0.4)	3.4 (0.5)	0.581	3.4 (0.5)	3.5 (0.5)	<0.05
Psychological	3.5 (0.5)	3.4 (0.5)	0.342	3.4 (0.5)	3.4 (0.6)	0.668
Social	3.7 (0.5)	3.6 (0.6)	0.292	3.6 (0.5)	3.6 (0.6)	0.917
Environment	3.3 (0.5)	3.4 (0.5)	0.285	3.4 (0.4)	3.4 (0.5)	0.675

Note. N = 241, excluding overweight at prepregnancy body mass index. BMI; body mass index, SD; standard deviation. ^aDesire to be slender used χ^2 test. ^bHealth-related quality of life scores and General self-efficacy scale scores used *t*-test. ^cHealth practice used Mann-Whitney *U*-test.

with gestational weight gain of <10 kg ($p = 0.019$; 95% CI [-0.30, -0.02]. $t(239) = -2.3$).

DISCUSSION

Before becoming pregnant, 16% of the women were underweight. Taking into consideration the global trends in overweight, the pre-pregnancy mean BMI of 21.0 is extremely low (Hill et al., 2015). Although 64% of the women had insufficient weight gain according to the IOM recommendation, the calculation using the Japanese guideline yielded 13%. Gestational weight gain of <10 kg was correlated with LBW infant as well as low physical QOL. In the present study, pregnant women had a mostly healthy lifestyle, but more than half of the women had a desire to be slim, and 16% were actually dieting during the first trimester. We analyzed these findings in terms of psychological characteristics among Japanese women and challenges among care providers.

In many women desire to be slim is tied to the desire to remain beautiful and is influenced greatly by media reports on diet plans and fashion (Uragami et al., 2015). However, similar media reports are likely to be found overseas. Therefore, we asked why Japanese women

have a desire to control weight gain by restricting nutritional intake and thus affecting the growth of fetus for their fetus. Yates et al. (2004) reported that Japanese young women have low levels of satisfaction with their appearance despite being underweight. Such self-cognition among Japanese women is similar to the situation in eating disorders. (Bruch and Czyzewski (1988) defined an eating disorder as a pathological state of self-esteem in people who worry about how they look to others. Does this imply that Japanese women as a race have low self-esteem? No correlation was observed between self-efficacy and underweight in this study, suggesting that in Japan a cultural preference for women who are receptive and cooperative may be more strongly involved in the perception of beauty. The relatively high self-efficacy observed in this study may indicate that because self-cognition is established normally through comparisons with others, self-efficacy is not reduced among Japanese even when living in a society with other Japanese as the overwhelming majority.

Desire to be slim may reflect an attempt to boost one's self-esteem to compensate for unwelcome self-assertiveness. In addition to individual desire to be slim, prenatal education provided by healthcare provider may contribute to low gestational weight gain. Because of

highly publicized risks associated with obesity in pregnancy, a weekly weight gain of ≤ 500 g was established as an easily attainable health index, and health professionals stress the importance of not exceeding this limit to pregnant women during prenatal checkups without conveying the risks associated with insufficient weight gain. This study showed that gestational weight gain of < 10 kg is a risk factor for LBW infant, suggesting that 7 kg, which is the minimal weight gain recommended for pregnant women with normal weight, is insufficient for fetal growth. Conversely, other studies have found that the gestational weight gains recommended by the IOM were effective in Chinese women, who are another Asian cohort (Wong et al. 2000).

Healthcare providers should explain the scientific evidence of the risks associated with fetal development or postpartum health problems and educate on proper eating habits. Yachi et al. (2013a) reported that the recommended extra 450-kcal energy intake during the third trimester is not followed in Japan. Healthcare providers should not make pregnant women unnecessarily anxious using the standard weight gain value of < 500 g per week just because it is difficult to change individuals' value about their appearance. It is desirable that the healthcare providers recommend exercise, in addition to diet, as a preventive measure against excessive weight gain. More than half of the pregnant women in the present study did not exercise during pregnancy. In addition, prenatal education should be given using positive terms such "as intake of nutrients essential for the healthy development of the fetus" or "the improvement of physical strength needed for easy and safe delivery", instead of using negative terms such as "the prevention of weight gain" or "dietary restriction", which may reinforce the desire to be slim.

In conclusion, research shows that Japanese pregnant women are likely to desire to be too slim, in addition to being a risk factor for infants with low birth weight. Low gestational weight gain is associated with poor physical QOL, but not self-efficacy in Japanese pregnant women. It is important for health providers to establish positive prenatal care that does not reinforce weight consciousness among Japanese pregnant women. Further research is needed to determine in study area.

Conflict of Interests

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Challenging Exploratory Research for FY2011–2013 from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (No.

23660057). We thank the pregnant women in the present study for their participation.

REFERENCES

- Bandura A (1997). *Self-efficacy: the exercise of control*. New York: Freeman WH.
- Bruch H, Cyzewski D (1988). *Conversations with anorexics*. New York: Basic Books.
- Gluckman PD, Seng CY, Fukuoka H, Beedle AS, Hanson MA (2007). Low birthweight and subsequent obesity in Japan. *Lancet* 369(9567):1081-1082.
- Hill B, Mcphie S, Skouteris H (2015). The Role of Parity in Gestational Weight Gain and Postpartum Weight Retention. *Women's Health Issues* 26(1):123-129.
- Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (2013). *National Health and Nutrition Survey*. (In Japanese.) Available at: http://www.mhlw.go.jp/bunya/kenkou/kenkou_eiyou_chousa.html
- Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (2006). *Dietary Guidelines for Pregnant and Lactating Women*. (In Japanese.) Available at: <http://www.mhlw.go.jp/houdou/2006/02/h0201-3a.html>
- Rahman MM, Abe SK, Kanda M, Narita S, Rahman MS, Bilano V, Ota E, Gilmour S, Shibuya K (2015). Maternal **body mass** index and risk of birth and maternal health outcomes in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes. Rev.* 16(9):758-770.
- Sakano Y, Tohyjo M (1986). The General self-efficacy scale (GSES): Scale development and validation. *Jpn Assoc. Behav. Cogn. Ther* 12(1):73-82. (In Japanese.)
- Takimoto H, Sugiyama T, Fukuoka H, Kato N, Yoshiike N (2006). Maternal weight gain ranges for optimal fetal growth in Japanese women. *Int. J. Gynecol. Obstet.* 92(3):272-278.
- Tazaki M, Nakane M (1997). *WHO Quality of Life 26*, Tokyo: Kanekoshobo. (In Japanese.)
- Tsukamoto H, Fukuoka H, Koyasu M, Nagai Y, Takimoto H (2007). Risk factors for small for gestational age. *Pediatr. Int.* 49(6):985-990.
- Uragami R, Kojima Y, Sawamiya Y (2015). Relation between media use and thin-ideal internalization: University undergraduates. *Jpn. J. Educ. Psychol.* 63:309-322. (In Japanese.)
- WHOQOL Group (World Health Organization Quality-of-Life) (1994). Development of the WHOQOL: Rationale and Current Status. *Int. J. Mental Health* 23:24-56.
- Wong W, Tang N, Lau T, Wong T (2000). A new recommendation for maternal weight gain in Chinese women. *J. Am. Diet. Assoc.* 100(7):791-796.
- Yachi Y, Sone H (2013a). Interim analysis of dietary intake and pregnancy course in Japanese pregnant women. *Jpn. Soc. Nutr. Diet.* 71(5):242-252. (In Japanese.)
- Yachi Y, Tanaka Y, Nishibata I, Sugawara A, Kodama S, Saito K, Sone H (2013b). Low BMI at age 20 years predicts gestational diabetes independent of BMI in early pregnancy in Japan: Tanaka Women's Clinic Study. *Diabet. Med.* 30(1):70-73.
- Yates A, Edman J, Aruguete M (2004). Ethnic differences in BMI and body/self-dissatisfaction among Whites, Asian subgroups, Pacific Islanders, and African-Americans. *J. Adolesc. Health* 34:300-307.



International Journal of Nursing and Midwifery

Related Journals Published by Academic Journals

- *International Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences*
- *Journal of Medicinal Plant Research*
- *African Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology*
- *Journal of Dentistry and Oral Hygiene*
- *Medical Practice and Reviews*
- *Journal of Public Health and Epidemiology*

academicJournals